

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.   | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/632,479  | 08/01/2003  | Yuri Leontiev        | INTU-990057         | 3014             |
| 63773 7590 68/17/2009 PVF INTUIT, INC. c/o PARK, VAUGHAN & FLEMING LLP 2820 FIFTH STREET DAVIS, CA 95618-7759 |             |                      | EXAMINER            |                  |
|   |             |                      | MURDOUGH, JOSHUA A  |                  |
|   |             |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
| ,   |             |                      | 3621                |                  |
|   |             |                      |                     |                  |
|   |             |                      | MAIL DATE           | DELIVERY MODE    |
|   |             |                      | 08/17/2009          | PAPER            |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Interview Summary

Application No. Applicant(s) LEONTIEV ET AL. 10/632,479 Examiner Art Unit JOSHUA MURDOUGH 3621

| (1) JOSHUA MURDOUGH.  (2) Andrew Fischer.  (4)  Date of Interview: 11 August 2009.  Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]  Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description:  Claim(s) discussed: Proposed amendment of 38.  Identification of prior art discussed: See attached PTO-892.  Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.  Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicants proposed amendment persuing a different aspect of Applicants invention. As a courtesy, the Examiner provided several references for Applicants consideration in the area being persued. This does not indicate a full search has been performed. The references have merely been provided to assist Applicants in advancing prosecution.  A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.   | All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTC  | O personnel):   |
|--|---|---|
| Date of Interview: 11 August 2009.  Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]  Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No. If Yes, brief description: ☐ 2) ☐ No. If Yes, brief description: ☐ 2) ☐ No. If Yes, brief description: ☐ 2) ☐ No. If Yes, brief description: ☐ 38.  Identification of prior art discussed: See attached PTO-892.  Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.  Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicants in proposed amendment persuing a different aspect of Applicants invention. As a courtesy, the Examiner provided several references for Applicants' consideration in the area being persued. This does not indicate a full search has been performed. The references have merely been provided to assist Applicants in advancing prosecution.  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable, if available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW (See MPEP Section 713 old). If a reply to the last Office action has already been flied, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW Contents and the provided of Interview of Interview of Interview of Record of Interview  | (1) <u>JOSHUA MURDOUGH</u> .  | (3) Jorge Campos (Reg. # 62,872).   |
| Type: a)  Telephonic b   | (2) Andrew Fischer.   | (4)   |
| c) Personal [copy given to: 1) □ applicant 2) □ applicant's representative]  Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) □ Yes If Yes, brief description: □ e) □ No. If Yes, brief description of prior art discussed: See attached PTO-892.  Agreement with respect to the claims f) □ was reached. g) □ was not reached. h) □ N/A.  Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicants' proposed amendment persuing a different aspect of Applicants' invention. As a courlesy, the Examiner provided several references for Applicants' consideration in the area being persued. This does not indicate a full search has been performed. The references have merely been provided to assist Applicants in advancing prosecution.  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO  | Date of Interview: <u>11 August 2009</u> .  |   |
| If Yes, brief description:  Claim(s) discussed: Proposed amendment of 38.  Identification of prior art discussed: See attached PTO-892.  Agreement with respect to the claims fy was reached. gy was not reached. hy N/A.  Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicants proposed amendment persuing a different aspect of Applicants invention. As a courtesy, the Examiner provided several references for Applicants' consideration in the area being persued. This does not indicate a full search has been performed. The references have merely been provided to assist Applicants in advancing prosecution.  A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable, if available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713 04.) If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed. APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAY'S FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO   |   | 2) applicant's representative]  |
| Identification of prior art discussed: See attached PTO-892.  Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.  Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicants' proposed amendment persuing a different aspect of Applicants' invention. As a courtesy, the Examiner provided several references for Applicants' consideration in the area being persued. This does not indicate a full search has been performed. The references have merely been provided to assist Applicants in advancing prosecution.  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MALLING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO   |   | e)⊠ No.   |
| Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.  Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>Applicants' proposed amendment persuing a different aspect of Applicants' invention. As a courtesy, the Examiner provided several references for Applicants' consideration in the area being persued. This does not indicate a full search has been performed. The references have merely been provided to assist Applicants in advancing prosecution.  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable, if available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713 old). If a reply to the last Office action has already been flied, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO</u>  | Claim(s) discussed: <u>Proposed amendment of 38</u> .   |   |
| Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <a href="https://documents.gop/cents/proposed/amendment/persuling/a different/aspect/of/Applicants/invention.As a courtesy, the Examiner provided several references for Applicants' consideration in the area being persued. This does not indicate a full search has been performed. The references have merely been provided to assist Applicants in advancing prosecution.  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.  THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed. APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MALLING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO&lt;/td&gt;&lt;td colspan=6&gt;Identification of prior art discussed: &lt;u&gt;See attached PTO-892&lt;/u&gt;.&lt;/td&gt;&lt;/tr&gt;&lt;tr&gt;&lt;td&gt;reached, or any other comments: Applicants' proposed amendment persuing a different aspect of Applicants' invention. As a courtesy, the Examiner provided several references for Applicants' consideration in the area being persued. This does not indicate a full search has been performed. The references have merely been provided to assist Applicants in advancing prosecution.  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO&lt;/td&gt;&lt;td&gt;Agreement with respect to the claims f) &lt;math display=" inline"="">\hfill \square was reached.</a> | g)⊠ was not reached. h)  N/A.   |   |
|  | reached, or any other comments: <u>Applicants' proposed a invention</u> . As a <u>courtesy</u> , the <u>Examiner provided several persued</u> . This does not indicate a full search has been <u>passist Applicants in advancing prosecution</u> .  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the ame allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attach THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGE INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MALLING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW DATE. OR THE MILLING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW DATE. THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW DATE. | mendment persuing a different aspect of Applicants' references for Applicants' consideration in the area being erformed. The references have merely been provided to indiments which the examiner agreed would render the claims copy of the amendments that would render the claims ed.)  ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE is last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS ROYNEMONEMORY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO |